1 2 3	Joel Sannes, Ariz. Bar No. 015999 jsannes@lakeandcobb.com LAKE & COBB, P.L.C. 1095 W Rio Salado Parkway, Suite 206 Tempe, Arizona 85281 Telephone: 602-523-3000	
5	Telefax: 602-523-3001  Local Counsel for Plaintiff  Mr. Jonathan M. Weis (pro hac vice application for	orthcoming)
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	jweis@lgattorneys.com  Mr. Mitchell S. Chaban (pro hac vice application mchaban@lgattorneys.com  LEVIN GINSBURG	Ξ,
9	180 North LaSalle Street, Suite 3200 Chicago, Illinois 60601-2800 Telephone: 312-368-0100 Telefax: 312-368-0111	
10   11   12	Lead Counsel for Plaintiff  IN THE UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
-	FOR THE DISTRICT O	
13	FOR THE DISTRICT O	F ARIZONA
14 15	BBK TOBACCO & FOODS, LLP, an Arizona limited liability partnership,  Plaintiff,	F ARIZONA  Case No. 09-2111  COMPLAINT
14	BBK TOBACCO & FOODS, LLP, an Arizona limited liability partnership,  Plaintiff,  v.  U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION; MARGARET A. HAMBURG, Commissioner of	Case No. 09-2111
14 15 16 17	BBK TOBACCO & FOODS, LLP, an Arizona limited liability partnership,  Plaintiff,  v.  U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION;	Case No. 09-2111
14	BBK TOBACCO & FOODS, LLP, an Arizona limited liability partnership,  Plaintiff,  v.  U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION; MARGARET A. HAMBURG, Commissioner of the United States Food and Drug Administration; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; and KATHLEEN SEBELIUS, Secretary of the United States Department of Health and	Case No. 09-2111

BBK TOBACCO & FOODS, LLP, an Arizona limited liability partnership, by and through its attorneys, JOEL SANNES, of LAKE & COBB, P.L.C., and JONATHAN M. WEIS and MITCHELL S. CHABAN, of LEVIN GINSBURG (pro hoc vice applications forthcoming), as and for its Complaint against Defendants, U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, and MARGARET A. HAMBURG, Commissioner of the United States Food and Drug Administration, and U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, and KATHLEEN SEBELIUS, Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, respectfully states as follows.

#### NATURE OF ACTION

1. This action involves the FDA's unlawful attempt to expand its authority to regulate *cigarettes* containing "characterizing flavors" under the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act by prohibiting the sale of flavored rolling papers ("Flavored Paper") sold separately, despite the fact that Flavored Paper is neither cigarettes nor tobacco products and, therefore, may not be regulated under the Act. See Pub. L. 111-31 §907(a)(1)(A), 21 U.S.C. §387g(a)(1)(A).

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff, BBK Tobacco & Foods, LLP is an Arizona limited liability partnership with its principal place of business at 3315 West Buckeye Road, Suite B, Phoenix, Arizona 85009.
- 3. Defendant United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") is a federal agency of the United States Department of Health and Human Services. The FDA's headquarters are located at 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland 20903. Defendant Margaret A. Hamburg is the Commissioner of the FDA.

4. Defendant United States Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") is a federal agency of the United States. The HHS headquarters are located at 200 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20201. Defendant Kathleen Sebelius is the Secretary of the HHS.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because this action arises under federal law, The Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act, Pub. L. No. 111-31, 123 Stat 1776 (2009). This Court has authority to grant declaratory relief and additional relief pursuant to 28 USC § 2201 and 2202.
- 6. This Court is an appropriate venue for the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).

#### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

7. Since 1997, BBK has been involved in the wholesale distribution and sale of various brands of Flavored Paper to retailers in the United States. The sale of Flavored Paper represents a significant portion of BBK's annual sales and revenue. The Flavored Paper sold and distributed by BBK and that are the subject of this action are neither made from nor contain any tobacco.

### **Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act**

8. The Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (the "Act") was signed into law on June 22, 2009. The purpose of the act is to "provide authority to the Food and Drug Administration to regulate tobacco products under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act." Pub. L. 111-31 § 3, 21 U.S.C. § 387.

9.

9 | 10 | c | 11 | 6 | 12 | h | 13 | t | 14 | F

"tobacco product" as "any product *made or derived from tobacco* that is intended for human consumption, including any component, part, or accessory of a tobacco product (except for raw materials other than tobacco used in manufacturing a component, part, or accessory of a tobacco product)." Pub L. No. 111-31 § 101, 21 U.S.C. § 321(rr)(1) (emphasis added). Flavored Paper *sold separately* is not a component of, a part of, or an accessory of, a tobacco product and is not made or derived from tobacco and is thus, not a tobacco product.

The Act amends the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act to define

- 10. Pursuant to the Act, the FDA's authority is initially limited to "cigarettes, cigarette tobacco, roll-your-own tobacco, and smokeless tobacco" and does not extend to Flavored Paper. See Pub. L. 111-31 §901(b), 21 U.S.C. § 387a(b). The FDA has also not promulgated any regulations pursuant to the Act and is therefore limited to regulate only the tobacco products specifically listed in Section 901(b). Flavored Paper is not a cigarette, cigarette tobacco, roll-your-own tobacco, or smokeless tobacco. Therefore the FDA has no authority to regulate Flavored Paper.
  - 11. The Act includes a special rule regarding the flavoring of cigarettes:

SPECIAL RULE FOR CIGARETTES.—Beginning 3 months after the date of enactment of the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act, a cigarette or any of its component parts (including the tobacco, filter, or paper) shall not contain, as a constituent (including a smoke constituent) or additive, an artificial or natural flavor (other than tobacco or menthol) or an herb or spice, including strawberry, grape, orange, clove, cinnamon, pineapple, vanilla, coconut, licorice, cocoa, chocolate, cherry, or coffee, that is a characterizing flavor of the tobacco product or tobacco smoke.

Pub. L. 111-31 §907(a)(1)(A), 21 U.S.C. §387g(a)(1)(A).

12. The legislative history of the Act indicates that Congress intended the prohibition of "characterizing flavors" to apply to cigarettes, and makes no mention of Flavored Paper sold separately. See H.R. No. H.R. No. 11-58, 2009 U.S.C.C.A.N. 468 (stating that the FDA's authority under the Act would include "[s]etting national standards for tobacco products, including a ban on *cigarettes* that contain certain additive or flavors (other than tobacco or menthol) that are a characterizing flavor of the tobacco product or tobacco smoke" and that "section 907(a)(1) is intended to prohibit the manufacture and sale of *cigarettes* with certain "characterizing flavors" that appeal to youth") (emphasis added).

- 13. The Act provides that "cigarette"
- (A) means a product that—
  - (i) is a tobacco product; and
  - (ii) meets the definition of the term 'cigarette' in section 3(1) of the Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act; and
- (B) includes tobacco, in any form, that is functional in the product, which, because of its appearance, the type of tobacco used in the filler, or its packaging and labeling, is likely to be offered to, or purchased by, consumers as a cigarette or as roll-your-own tobacco.
- Pub. L. 111-31 § 900(3), 21 U.S.C. § 387(3). The Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act ("FCLAA") defines "cigarette" as
  - (A) any roll of tobacco wrapped in paper or in any substance not containing tobacco, and
  - (B) any roll of tobacco wrapped in any substance containing tobacco which, because of its appearance, the type of tobacco used in the filler, or its packaging and labeling, is likely to be offered to, or purchased by, consumers as a cigarette described in subparagraph (A).
- 15 U.S.C. 1332(1). The Act and the FCLAA's definitions of cigarette clearly include only products that include tobacco. Flavored Paper sold separately does not include tobacco and are, therefore, not cigarettes under the FCLAA or the Act.

s

19

20

21

23

24

22

14. Flavored Paper also does not meet the definition of a "cigarette" in any prior act of Congress or regulation. See Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 5702(c) (defining "cigarette" as "(1) any roll of tobacco wrapped in paper or in any substance not containing tobacco, and (2) any roll of tobacco wrapped in any substance containing tobacco which, because of its appearance, the type of tobacco used in the filler, or its packaging and labeling, is likely to be offered to, or purchased by, consumers as a cigarette described in paragraph (1)"); 27 C.F.R. § 44.11 (defining "cigarette" as "(a) Any roll of tobacco wrapped in paper or in any substance not containing tobacco, and (b) Any roll of tobacco wrapped in any substance containing tobacco which, because of its appearance, the type of tobacco used in the filler, or its packaging and labeling, is likely to be offered to, or purchased by, consumers as a cigarette described in paragraph (a) of this definition"); 27 C.F.R. § 40.11 (defining "cigarette" as "(1) Any roll of tobacco wrapped in paper or in any substance not containing tobacco, and (2) any roll of tobacco wrapped in any substance containing tobacco which, because of its appearance, the type of tobacco used in the filler, or its packaging and labeling, is likely to be offered to, or purchased by, consumers as a cigarette described in paragraph (1) of this definition"). Flavored Paper does not fall within the definition of cigarette under any statute or regulation.

15. The Act also states that "[t]he requirements applicable to cigarettes . . . shall also apply to cigarette tobacco." Pub. L. 111-31 § 900(4), 21 U.S.C. § 387(4). The Act defines "Cigarette tobacco" as "any product that consists of loose tobacco that is intended for use by consumers in a cigarette." Id.

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

## 

## 

## 

# 

## 

# 

### The FDA's Unlawful Attempt to Regulate Flavored Paper

- Cigarettes Containing Certain Characterizing Flavors." This letter states that the special rule for cigarettes prohibiting "characterizing flavors" "applies to all tobacco products that meet the definition of a "cigarette" in section 900(3) of the Act even if they are not labeled as "cigarettes" or are labeled as cigars or as some other product." FDA, Letter to Industry on Cigarettes Containing Certain Characterizing Flavors, available at:

  http://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/ucm 182186.htm (last visited Oct. 1, 2009) (A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".) Under the FDA's own letter, the ban on "characterizing flavors" applies only to cigarettes. Because flavored papers sold separately do not meet the definition of cigarette under the Act, they are not subject the ban on "characterizing flavors." See Pub. L. 111-31 § 900(3), 21 U.S.C. § 387(3).
- 17. On September 22, 2009, the FDA issued a guidance document titled "General Questions and Answers on the Ban of Cigarettes that Contain Certain Characterizing Flavors" representing the FDA's "current thinking" on the Act's ban on cigarettes containing characterizing flavors. FDA, General Questions and Answers on the Ban of Cigarettes that Contain Certain Characterizing Flavors, Sept. 22, 2009, available at:
- http://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Flav oredTobacco/ucm183228.htm (last visited Oct. 1, 2009) ("Guidance Document") (A true and correct copy of the Guidance Document is attached hereto as Exhibit "2").

The Guidance Document states that the special rule for cigarettes in Section 907(a)(1)(A) of the Act also prohibits separately sold rolling paper intended for use in roll-your-own cigarettes from containing an artificial or natural flavor. Guidance Document,  $\P$  4.

- 18. The FDA's conclusion that Flavored Paper sold separately are cigarettes under the Act is not supported by the language of the Act or the definitions of "cigarette" in the FCLAA, the Internal Revenue Code, or federal regulations.
- 19. Due to the FDA's position with regards to Flavored Paper, BBK has discontinued sales of Flavored Paper for fear that the FDA intends to treat Flavored Paper as cigarettes under the Act, prohibit the sale of Flavored Paper, and impose civil and criminal penalties for the sale of Flavored Paper.

### BBK has been and will continue to be irreparably harmed

- 20. In view of the FDA's intent to prosecute sellers of Flavored Paper, BBK has had to discontinue its sales of Flavored Paper, which represents a significant portion of BBK's sales and revenue.
- 21. The discontinuation of the sale of Flavored Paper has already had a devastating impact on BBK's business and will irreparably harm BBK's reputation with its customers and suppliers. BBK's retail customers have been returning to BBK tens of thousands of dollars worth of Flavored Paper.
- 22. In addition, BBK has approximately \$750,000 of Flavored Paper inventory which it will have no ability to re-sell if the FDA is permitted to ban the sale of Flavored Paper.

23. Furthermore, BBK is contractually bound to purchase a substantial amount of additional Flavored Paper pursuant to an exclusive supplier agreement. BBK will necessarily breach the exclusive supplier agreement if it is prohibited from reselling Flavored Paper.

#### **COUNT I: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**

- 24. BBK repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 23 as though more fully set forth at length herein.
- 25. The FDA has no authority under the Act to regulate Flavored Paper because it is not a tobacco product under Section 101. Flavored paper is not "made or derived from tobacco," a component of a tobacco product, a part of a tobacco product, or an accessory of a tobacco product. See Pub. L. 111-31 § 101, 21 U.S.C. § 321.
- 26. Additionally, regardless of whether Flavored Paper is a tobacco product, the FDA has no authority under the Act to regulate any products other than "cigarettes, cigarette tobacco, roll-your-own tobacco, and smokeless tobacco." Flavored Paper is none of these.
- 27. Finally, specifically with respect to the Act's special rule for cigarettes prohibiting "characterizing flavors" described in paragraph 11, *supra*, Flavored Paper is not covered and is not a cigarette under any definition of the term in the Act or any other statute or regulation. See Pub. L. 111-31 §907(a)(1)(A), 21 U.S.C. §387g(a)(1)(A).
- 28. By reason of the foregoing, BBK is entitled to judgment in its favor and against Defendants declaring that Flavored Paper is not a tobacco product or a

cigarette under the Act and, therefore, the Act's special rule for cigarettes prohibiting "characterizing flavors" does not apply to Flavored Paper.

### COUNT II: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT (5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(C))

- 29. BBK repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 28 as though more fully set forth at length herein.
- 30. The FDA has no authority under the Act to regulate products that are not tobacco products.
- 31. The FDA has no authority under the Act to regulate any products except "cigarettes, cigarette tobacco, roll-your-own tobacco, and smokeless tobacco" absent the promulgation of regulations establishing such authority. The FDA has not promulgated any regulations with respect to the Act and, based on the provisions of the Act, cannot promulgate any such regulations.
- 32. Flavored Paper are neither cigarettes nor tobacco products under the Act.
- 33. Therefore, the FDA's attempt to regulate flavored papers is "in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations of statutory right." 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(C).
- 34. The FDA's interpretation of the Act is also "arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, [and] otherwise not in accordance with law." 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B).
- 35. By reason of the foregoing, BBK is entitled to judgment in its favor and against Defendants declaring that the FDA has no authority to regulate Flavored Paper under the Act.

### **COUNT III: INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

- 36. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 35 as though more fully set forth at length herein.
  - 37. BBK is likely to succeed on the merits of this dispute.
- 38. Due to the FDA's attempted regulation and ban of Flavored Paper under the Act, BBK has and will continue to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.
- 39. BBK therefore requests that this Court enter a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent injunction prohibiting the FDA from issuing statements to the tobacco industry or the public that Flavored Paper is prohibited by the Act, promulgating rules or regulations with respect to Flavored Paper, or taking any other action adverse to BBK with respect to Flavored Paper on the basis that Flavored Paper is prohibited by the Act.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, BBK TOBACCO & FOODS, LLP, an Arizona limited liability partnership, respectfully requests that this Court enter an order granting judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants:

- A. Declaring that Flavored Paper sold separately is not a tobacco product under the Act;
- B. Declaring that the Defendants have no authority to regulate Flavored Paper sold separately under the Act; and
- C. Entering a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent injunction prohibiting the Defendants from issuing statements to the

tobacco industry or the public that Flavored Paper sold separately is prohibited by the Act, promulgating rules or regulations with respect to Flavored Paper sold separately, or taking any other action adverse to BBK with respect to Flavored Paper sold separately on the basis that Flavored Paper sold separately is prohibited by the Act.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2009.

LAKE & COBB, P.L.C.

s/ Joel E. Sannes
Joel E. Sannes
Attorneys for Plaintiff